Subriemannian Geometry:
The basic notations and examples

Winterschool in Geilo, Norway

Wolfram Bauer

Leibniz U. Hannover

March 4-10. 2018

Outline

1. Motivations, definitions and examples
2. Horizontal curves and an optimal control problem
3. More examples and constructions

Motivation: Sub-Riemannian geometry

Consider n classical particles with coordinates $\{q_1, \cdots, q_n\}$.

Motion under constraints

H: $f(q_1, \cdots, q_n) = 0$, *(holonomic)*,

NH: $f(q_1, \cdots, q_n, \dot{q}_1, \cdots, \dot{q}_n) = 0$, *(non-holonomic)*.

Exampels:

H: A particle moving along a surface, or a pendulum.

NH: Rolling of a ball on a plane (or some surface) without slipping or twisting.

Corresponding geometric structures on a manifold

- *holonomic constraints* $\longrightarrow$ integrable distribution (foliation of a manifold),
- *non-holonomic constraints* $\longrightarrow$ Sub-Riemannian structure.

Parking a car: Rototranslation

Position of the car robot in 3-space: $(x, y, \vartheta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Possible movements

- $X = \cos\vartheta \cdot \partial_x + \sin\vartheta \cdot \partial_y$, (in direction of the car)
- $Y = \partial_\vartheta$, (rotation)
- $Z = -\sin\vartheta \cdot \partial_x + \cos\vartheta \cdot \partial_y$, (orthogonal to the car).

Parkin a car: Rototranslation

Connecting positions: *Which movements allow to reach from any position of the car any other position?*

Observations

- Moving only along X and Z is not enough: it keeps the angle ϑ fixed.

$$\text{span}\Big\{X, Z\Big\} = \text{kern} d\vartheta \quad \textit{and} \quad d\vartheta = \textit{closed form},$$
$$[X, Z] = 0.$$

- Moving along X and Y *(parking procedure)* might be sufficient for connecting positions.

$$\text{span}\Big\{X, Y\Big\} = \text{kern}\, \omega \quad \textit{where} \quad \omega = -\sin\vartheta dx + \cos\vartheta dy.$$
$$[X, Y] = \Big[\cos\vartheta \cdot \partial_x + \sin\vartheta \cdot \partial_y, \partial_\vartheta\Big]$$
$$= -\sin\vartheta \cdot \partial_x + \cos\vartheta \cdot \partial_y = Z.$$

Sub-Riemannian Geometry

"Sub-Riemannian geometry models motions under non-holonomic constraints".

Definition

A Sub-Riemannian manifold (shortly: SR-m) is a triple $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ with:

- M is a smooth manifold (without boundary), $\dim M \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{H} \subset TM$ is a vector distribution.
- $\mathcal{H}$ is bracket generating of rank $k < \dim M$, i.e.

$$\text{Lie}_x\mathcal{H} = T_xM.$$

- $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_x$ is a smoothly varying family of inner products on $\mathcal{H}_x$ for $x \in M$.

1.Example: Heisenberg group

Consider the 3- dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_3 \cong (\mathbb{R}^3, *)$ with product:

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1) * (x_2, y_2, z_2) = \Big(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 + \frac{1}{2}[x_1 y_2 - y_1 x_2]\Big).$$

Lie algebra of $\mathbb{H}_3$:

On $\mathbb{H}_3 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ define left-invariant vector fields: Let $q = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{H}_3$: [1]

$$\begin{aligned}[X_1 f](q) &= \frac{df}{dt}\Big(q * (t, 0, 0)\Big)_{|t=0} \\ &= \frac{df}{dt}\Big(x + t, 0, z - \frac{yt}{2}\Big) = \Big[\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{y}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big) f\Big](q).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, with curves $(0, t, 0)_t$ and $(0, 0, t)_t$:

$$X_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{x}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

[1] "X left-invariant": $X_{g*h} = (L_g)_* X_h$ with the left-multiplication $L_g : \mathbb{H}_3 \to \mathbb{H}_3$.

Heisenberg group as SR-manifold

Known fact:

The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h}_3, [\cdot, \cdot])$ of $\mathbb{H}_3$ can be identified with:

$$\mathfrak{h}_3 = \text{span}\Big\{X_1, X_2, Z\Big\} \quad \textit{with} \quad [\cdot, \cdot] = \text{commtator of vector fields}.$$

Observation

If we calculate Lie-brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]$, then one only finds one non-trivial bracket relation is:

$$\big[X_1, X_2\big] = X_1 X_2 - X_2 X_1 = Z.$$

- Put $\mathcal{H} = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\} \subset T\mathbb{H}_3$ (distribution),
- Define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by declaring X_1 and X_2 pointwise orthonormal.

Conclusion: $(\mathbb{H}_3, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ defines a Sub-Riemannian structure on $\mathbb{H}_3$.

Horizontal curves and cc-distance:

On a SR-manifold $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ we consider horizontal objects, i.e. objects under non-holonomic constraints.

Example

Consider a curve $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$: [a]

- γ is called horizontal, (a.e.) it is tangential to $\mathcal{H}$, i.e.

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)}.$$

- The curve length is defined by:

$$\ell(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \sqrt{\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)\rangle_{\gamma(t)}}dt.$$

- SR geodesic = locally length minimizing horizontale curve.

[a] piecewise C^1 or just absolutely continuous

Carnot-Carathéodory metric

Definition: Sub-Riemannian distanced (cc-distance)

The SR distance between two points $a, b \in M$ is defined by:

$$d_{cc}(a,b) := \inf \Big\{ \ell(\gamma) \ : \ \gamma \textit{ horizontal }, \gamma(0) = a, \gamma(1) = b \Big\}.$$

Question: *Let M be a connected SR-manifold. Can we connect any two points on M by horizontal curves?*

Theorem (W.-L. Chow 1939, P.-K. Rashevskii 1938)

Any two points on a connected SR-manifold can be connected by piecewise smooth horizontal curves.

Consequence: The cc-distance d_{cc} [2] on a connected SR-manifold is finite. Hence (M, d_{cc}) forms a metric space.

[2] Carnot-Carathéodory distance

Geodesic equations

Some question:

- How can we obtain Sub-Riemannian geodesics?
- Relation to d_{cc}: can we realize the cc-distance between two point by a (piecewise) smooth SR geodesic?
- Is the distance $x \mapsto d_{cc}(x_0, x)$ smooth for fixed points x_0?

Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a SR-manifold. Let

$$\big[X_1, \cdots, X_m\big] = \textit{vector fields} \quad \textit{and} \quad m = \text{rank}\,\mathcal{H}.$$

an local orthonormal frame around a point $q \in M$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}_q = \text{span}\Big\{X_1(q), \cdots, X_m(q)\Big\} \quad \textit{and} \quad \big\langle X_i(q), X_j(q) \big\rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

Idea: *Expand locally the derivative of a horizontal curve with respect to the above frame*

SR-geodesics and optimal control

Observation

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$ be horizontal. With suitable coefficients $u_i(t)$ one can write

$$\gamma'(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j(t) \cdot X_j(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \big\langle \gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \big\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_i^2(t).$$

Finding SR-geodesics between $A, B \in M$= optimal control problem OCP.

OCP: *Minimize the cost*

$$J_T(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{m} u_i^2(t) dt}$$

under the conditions

$$\gamma' = \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j \cdot X_j(\gamma) \quad \textit{and} \quad \gamma(0) = A,\ \gamma(T) = B.$$

SR-geodesic: a Hamiltonian formalism

Remark:

Instead of minimizing a lenght we may equivalently minimize an "energy":

OCP: *Minimize the cost*

$$J_T(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \sum_{j=1}^m u_i^2(t)dt$$

under the conditions

$$\gamma' = \sum_{j=1}^m u_j \cdot X_j(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(0) = A,\ \gamma(T) = B.$$

Hamiltonian formalism (as known in Riemannian geometry):

Assign a Sub-Riemannian Hamiltonian $H_{sr} \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ to the problem:

$$H_{sr}(q,p) = \sum_{j=1}^m p\Big(X_j(q)\Big)^2 \quad \text{where} \quad (q,p) \in T_q^*M.$$

SR-geodesic: a Hamiltonian formalism

With the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ on $C^\infty(T^*M)$ consider:

$$\vec{H}_{sr} = \{\cdot, H\} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial q} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial p} = \text{Hamiltonian vector field}$$

The Hamiltonian vector field defines the geodesic flow on T^*M and projections of the flow to M give SR-geodesics:

Theorem (normal geodesics)

Let $\zeta(t) = (\gamma(t), p(t))$ be a solution to the normal geodesic equations:

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q,p) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}(q,p), \quad i = 1\cdots \dim M.$$

Then $\gamma(t)$ locally minimizes the SR-distance.

Proof: [3]

[3] R. Montgomery, *A tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications* Math. Surveys and Monographs, 2002.

SR-geodesics

Remark

There are various differences to the setting of a Riemannian manifold:

- The Hamiltonian in Riemannian geometry can be expressed as

$$H_r(q,p) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij}(q)p_ip_j, \qquad g^{ij} := \textit{inverse metric tensor.}$$

  In SR-geometry g_{ij} is an $m \times m$-matrix and not invertible on TM.
- There are no 2nd order geodesic equations in the SR-setting such as

$$\ddot{q}^k = \Gamma^k_{ij}\dot{q}_i\dot{q}_j.$$

  The obtained regularity of SR-geodesics is not clear.
- In SR-geometry there may be singular geodesics which **do not solve** the geodesic equations in the above theorem.



The falling cat:

A connectivity problem in SR geometry

Generalizations of the Heisenberg group

A Lie group G has trivial tangent bundle and the last construction of a trivial bundle can be generalized:

Left-invariant structure

- Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the Lie algebra of G.
- Let $V \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(V) = \text{span}\Big\{ v, [w,x], \big[y,[w,x]\big], \cdots : x,y,w \in V \Big\}.$$

  Identify V (via left-translation) with a space of left-invariant vector fields on G.
- The G becomes a sub-Riemannian manifold $(G, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with:

$$\mathcal{H} = V$$
$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q = \big\langle (dL_q)^{-1} \cdot, (dL_q)^{-1} \cdot \big\rangle_V.$$

Contact structures

Let Θ be a one-form on a manifold M of dimension $\dim M = 2k+1$. Put:

$$\mathcal{H}_q := \text{kern}(\Theta_q) \subset T_qM, \qquad (q \in M).$$

Contact form

Assume that Θ has the following properties:

- the restriction of $d\Theta_q$ to $\mathcal{H}_q$ is non-degenerate [a] for each $q \in M$:

  If $v \in \mathcal{H}$ with $d\Theta(v,w) = 0$ for all $w \in \mathcal{H}_q$, then $v = 0$.

- **equivalently:** the form

$$\omega := \Theta \wedge \big(d\Theta\big)^{2k} \neq 0$$

  does not vanish at any point of M ($= \omega$ is a volume form):

[a] a symplectic form

Contact manifolds

Lemma

Let Θ be a contact form on M. Then

$$\mathcal{H} := \ker \Theta \subset TM$$

is a bracket generating distribution.

Proof: Use Cartan's formula:

$$d\Theta(X,Y) = X\Theta(Y) - Y\Theta(X) - \Theta([X,Y]).$$

Let X, Y be horizontal, i.e. $X_q, Y_q \in \mathcal{H}_q = \text{kern}\,\Theta_q$ for all $q \in M$. Then

$$\Theta(X) = \Theta(Y) = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d\Theta(X,Y) = -\Theta\big([X,Y]\big).$$

Since $d\Theta$ is non-degenerate on $\mathcal{H}_q$ we find X, Y with

$$[X,Y]_q \notin \text{kern}\Theta_q = \mathcal{H}_q.$$

□

Contact manifolds (continued)

Choose an almost complex structure $J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = d\Theta(J\cdot, \cdot), \qquad \textit{and} \qquad J^2 = -I$$

is an inner product on $\mathcal{H}$ (symmetric, positive definite).

Definition (contact Sub-Riemannian manifold)

The tripel $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called contact Sub-Riemannian manifold.

Example: Consider again the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_3 \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ with distribution:

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{span}\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{y}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{x}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big\} = \text{kern}\Big(\underbrace{dz - \frac{x}{2}dy + \frac{y}{2}dx}_{=\Theta}\Big).$$

Moreover, Θ is a contact form and $\mathbb{H}_3$ is a contact SR-manifold:

$$\Theta \wedge d\Theta = -\Theta \wedge \big(dx \wedge dy\big) = -dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \neq 0.$$

Rototranslation group: How to park a car?

Possible movements

- $X = \cos\vartheta \cdot \partial_x + \sin\vartheta \cdot \partial_y$, (in direction of the car)
- $Y = \partial_\vartheta$, (rotation)
- $Z = -\sin\vartheta \cdot \partial_x + \cos\vartheta \cdot \partial_y$, (orthogonal to the car).

Good choice:

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{span}\Big\{X, Y\Big\} = \text{kern}\,\omega \quad \textit{with} \quad \omega = -\sin\vartheta \cdot dx + \cos\vartheta \cdot dy.$$



$$\omega \wedge d\omega = \omega \wedge \big(-\cos\vartheta \cdot d\vartheta \wedge dx - \sin\vartheta \cdot d\vartheta \wedge dy\big) = -dx \wedge dy \wedge d\vartheta \neq 0.$$

Sub-Riemannian structures on spheres

Different from a Lie group it is well-known that most of the Euclidean unit spheres $\mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of dimension n do not have a trivial tangent bundle.

Exceptions

Precisely the spheres $\mathbb{S}^n$ where $n = 1, 3, 7$ have trivial tangent bundle.

Questions: Are there:

(1) bracket generating distributions on Euclidean spheres?

(2) trivializable bracket generating distributions $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathbb{S}^n$, (i.e. $\mathcal{H}$ is trivial as a vector bundle)?

Answers:

(1) There are various constructions:
  - odd dimensional spheres $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ carry a contact structure (from the diagonal action of S^1 on $\mathbb{C}^n$),
  - via (quaternionic) Hopf fibration in some dimensions, $\cdots$

(2) In some dimensions via canonical vector fields.

SR-strucures on spheres

There are various constructions of SR-structures on Euclidean spheres. Some models arise from different points of view, e.g. $\mathbb{S}^3$ or $\mathbb{H}_3$ are:

Lie groups, total space of a fiber bundle (e.g. Hopf fibration), contact manifolds, $\cdots$

W. -B. K. Furutani, C. Iwasaki
Trivializable sub-Riemannian structures on spheres, Bull. Sci. math. 137 (2013), 361- 385.

O. Calin, D.-C. Chang,
Sub-Riemannian geometry on the sphere S^3, Canad. J. Math. 61 (4) (2009) 721 - 739.

I. Markina, M.G. Molina,
Sub-Riemannian geodesics and heat operator on odd dimensional spheres, Anal. Math. Phys. 2 (2) (2012) 123 - 147

Adams Theorem

Theorem (J.F. Adams, 1962)

The maximal dimension $\gamma(n)$ of a trivial subbundle in $T\mathbb{S}^n$ is:

$$\gamma(n) = 2^a + 8b - 1.$$

The numbers $0 \leq a < 4$ and $0 \leq b$ are determined through the relations:

$$n + 1 = 2^{a+4b} \times [odd].$$

Canonical vector fields: For $\alpha = 1, \cdots, \gamma(n)$ consider:

$$X_\alpha := \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{ij}^\alpha x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \qquad \textit{with} \qquad A_\alpha = (a_{ij}^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}(n+1).$$

Assume that the matrices A_α fulfill the Clifford relations:

$$A_\alpha A_\beta + A_\beta A_\alpha = -2\delta_{\alpha\beta} I.$$

Sub-Riemannian structures via canonical vector fields

Lemma

The restriction of the canonical vector fields to $\mathbb{S}^n$ are orthonormal at each point of $\mathbb{S}^n$. The distribution:

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{span}\Big\{X_\alpha \;:\; \alpha = 1, \cdots, \gamma(n)\Big\}$$

defines a maximal dimensional trivial subbundle of $T\mathbb{S}^n$.

The Clifford relations imply relations on the brackets of canonical vector fields. In particular, these show:

$$[X_\alpha[X_\beta[X_\gamma \cdots]]] \in \text{span}\Big\{X_i, [X_j, X_k] \;:\; i, j, k = 1, \cdots, \gamma(n)\Big\}.$$

Necessary condition for the bracket generating property:

$$\rho(n) := \gamma(n) + \binom{\gamma(n)}{2} > n. \qquad (*)$$

Trivializable Sub-Riemannian structures on sphere

Lemma *Property $(*)$ precisely holds in the following dimensions:*

n	1	3	7	15	23	31	63
$\gamma(n)$	1	3	7	8	7	9	11
$\rho(n)$	1	6	28	36	28	45	66

Next task: *Sufficient conditions for the bracket generating property.*

Theorem, (B. Furutani, Iwasaki)

Trivializable Sub-Riemannian structures on spheres $\mathbb{S}^n$ via a Clifford module structure only exist in the following dimensions:

$$n = 3, 7, 15.$$

On $\mathbb{S}^7$ there are trivializable structures of rank $4, 5, 6$.

Question: *Are there Subriemannian structures on exotic 7-spheres?*

Gromoll-Meyer exotic 7-sphere Σ^7_{GM}

Exotic 7-sphere as base of a Δ-principal bundle



With $\Delta = \{(\lambda, \lambda) : \lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)\}$ und $G = \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \supset \Delta$.

Theorem (B., Furutani, Iwasaki, 2016)

The bi-quotient of compact groups induces a rank 4 SR-structure on the Gromoll-Meyer exotic 7-sphere.

$T(\mathrm{Sp}(2)) = V^\Delta \oplus H^\Delta = V^G \oplus H^G$ und $H^G \subset H^\Delta$.

Sub-Riemannian structures of bundle type

Let (M, g_M) and (N, g_N) be Riemannian manifolds with Riemannian submersion:

$$\pi : M \to N.$$

Properties

Let $q \in M$ and $p = \pi(q) \in N$.

- kern $d\pi_q \subset T_qM$ is a the space tangent to the fibre $\pi^{-1}(p)$ at q.
- The restriction of the differential

$$d\pi_q : \mathcal{H}_q := \left(\text{kern } d\pi_q\right)^\perp \subset T_qM \to T_pN$$

  is an isometry.
- On $\mathcal{H}$ consider the restriction $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of the metric on TM

These data may give a SR-structure of bundle type. (Note: bracket generating property is not clear in general and has to be checked).

Example: Hopf fibration

Consider the three sphere as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$\mathbb{S}^3 = \{z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \ : \ |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Definition (Hopf fibration)

The Hopf fibration is the submersion map

$$\pi : \mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2_{\frac{1}{2}} : \pi(z) := \frac{1}{2}\Big(|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2, \mathrm{Re}(z_1\bar{z}_2), \mathrm{Im}(z_1\bar{z}_2)\Big),$$

where $\mathbb{S}^2_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the 2-sphere of radius $1/2$.

Theorem: *The Hopf fibration defines a principal $\mathbb{S}^1$-bundle, where $\mathbb{S}^1$ act by componentewise multiplication on $\mathbb{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.*

Remark: The corresponding distribution on $\mathbb{S}^3$ of bundle type is bracket generating (and coincides with a contact structure on $\mathbb{S}^3$).

Summary

- Sub-Riemannian geometry models motion under non-holonomic constraints (mechanical systems, rolling of manifolds, parking a car, falling cat$\cdots$)
- Connected SR-manifolds are metric spaces with the cc-distance.
- Sub-Riemannian geodesics $\longleftrightarrow$ optimal control problem.
- Examples include: some Lie groups, (e.g. Heisenberg group or $\mathbb{S}^3$), Euclidean spheres, some principal bundles (e.g. Hopf fibration).

References

W. Bauer, K. Furutani, C.Iwasaki,
A codimension 3sub-Riemannian structure on the Gromoll?Meyer exotic sphere, Differential geometry and its applications, 53 (2017), 114-136.

W. -B. K. Furutani, C. Iwasaki
Trivializable sub-Riemannian structures on spheres, Bull. Sci. math. 137 (2013), 361- 385.

O. Calin, D.-C. Chang
Sub-Riemannian Geometry - General Theory and Examples, Cambridge University Press, 2009.

A. Bellaiche
Sub-Riemannian geometry, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1996.

M. Gromov,
Carnot-Carathéodor spaces seen from within, Sub-Riemannian Geometry, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math. 144, (1996), 79-323.

References

I. Markina, M.G. Molina,
Sub-Riemannian geodesics and heat operator on odd dimensional spheres, Anal. Math. Phys. 2 (2) (2012) 123 - 147

I. Markina,
Geodesics in geometry with constraints and applications. Quantization, PDEs, and geometry, 153- 314, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 251, Adv. Partial Differ. Equ. (Basel), Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016.

R. Montgomery,
A tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 91, 2002.

Thank you for your attention!