

Sub-Laplacian and the heat equation

Winterschool in Geilo, Norway

Wolfram Bauer

Leibniz U. Hannover

March 4-10. 2018

Outline

1. Sub-Laplacian on nilpotent Lie groups
2. Nilpotent approximation
3. Sub-elliptic heat kernel asymptotic

Intrinsic Sub-Laplacian (Reminder from the 2nd talk)

Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a **regular** SR-manifold with **Popp measure** \mathcal{P} .

Definition

The **intrinsic Sub-Laplacian** on M is the Sub-Laplacian associated to \mathcal{P} :

$$\Delta_{\text{sub}} = \text{div}_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \text{grad}_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where (with the Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_X)

$$\mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{P} = \text{div}_{\mathcal{P}}(X) \cdot \mathcal{P} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{grad}_{\mathcal{H}} = \text{horizontal gradient}.$$

Here: \mathcal{P} = **Popp measure** and

$$\left\langle \underbrace{\text{grad}_{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi)}_{\in \mathcal{H}_q}, v \right\rangle_q = d\varphi(v), \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_q \quad (\text{horizontal gradient}).$$

The Sub-Laplacian on nilpotent Lie groups

Carnot group

A **Carnot group** is a connected, simply connected Lie group G , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} allowing a **stratification**

$$\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r.$$

Moreover, the following **bracket relations** respecting the stratification hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [V_1, V_j] &= V_{j+1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r-1, \\ [V_j, V_r] &= \{0\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r. \end{aligned}$$

In particular \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent of step r .

Example: Let \mathfrak{h}_3 be the Heisenberg Lie algebra. Then

$$\mathfrak{h}_3 = \text{span}\{X, Y\} \oplus \text{span}\{Z\},$$

where $[X, Y] = Z$. This is a **2-step case**.

Two classical results

Theorem (Lie's third theorem)

Every finite dimensional real Lie algebra is the Lie algebra of a **Lie group**.

Recall that a **Lie group homomorphism** is a **smooth** group isomorphism between Lie groups.

Theorem

Let G and H be Lie groups with Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} , respectively. Let

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$$

denote a **Lie algebra homomorphism**. If G is **simply connected**, then there is a **unique Lie group homomorphism**

$$f : G \rightarrow H$$

such that $\Phi = df$ (the differential of f).

Carnot group

A combination of the last theorem gives:

Corollary

For every finite dimensional **Lie algebra** \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{R} there is a simply connected **Lie group** G which has \mathfrak{g} as Lie algebra. Moreover, G is **unique** up to isomorphisms.

This leads to the notion of **Carnot group**.

Definition

Let \mathfrak{g} be a **Carnot Lie algebra**. The connected, simply connected Lie group G (up to isomorphisms) with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called **Carnot group**.

Remark: If \mathfrak{g} has step r , we call the **Carnot group** G of step r .

Example: Engel group

Consider the **Engel group** $\mathcal{E}_4 \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ as a matrix group:

$$\mathcal{E}_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & \frac{x^2}{2} & z \\ 0 & 1 & x & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, w, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}.$$

Then \mathcal{E}_4 has the **Lie algebra** \mathfrak{e}_4 with non-trivial bracket relations:

$$[X, Y] = W \quad \text{und} \quad [X, \underbrace{[X, Y]}_{=W}] = Z$$

and **stratification**

$$\mathfrak{e}_4 = \text{span}\{X, Y\} \oplus \text{span}\{W\} \oplus \text{span}\{Z\}.$$

Corollary

The Engel group \mathcal{E}_4 is a **Carnot group** of step 3.

Nilpotent approximation

Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a **regular** Sub-Riemannian manifold.

Consider again the **flag** induced by the bracket generating distribution \mathcal{H} .

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^1 \subset \mathcal{H}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{H}^r \subset \mathcal{H}^{r+1} \subset \dots$$

Notation: By definition $\dim \mathcal{H}_q^r$ for all r are **independent** of $q \in M$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^1 &:= \mathcal{H} = \text{"sheave of smooth horizontal vector fields"}, \\ \mathcal{H}^{r+1} &:= \mathcal{H}^r + [\mathcal{H}^r, \mathcal{H}], \end{aligned}$$

with

$$[\mathcal{H}^r, \mathcal{H}]_q = \text{span}\left\{ [X, Y]_q : X_p \in \mathcal{H}_p^r \text{ and } Y_p \in \mathcal{H}_p \right\}.$$

Nilpotent approximation

For each $q \in M$ we obtain a **graded vector space**:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}(\mathcal{H})_q &= \mathcal{H}_q \oplus \mathcal{H}_q^2/\mathcal{H}_q \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}_q^r/\mathcal{H}_q^{r-1} \\ &= \text{nilpotentization.} \end{aligned}$$

Observations:

- Lie brackets of vector fields on M induce a **Lie algebra structure** on $\text{gr}(\mathcal{H})_q$. (*respecting the grading*).
- Let $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{H})_q$ denote the connected, simply connected nilpotent **Lie group** with Lie algebra $\text{gr}(\mathcal{H})_q$.
- The space $\mathcal{H}_q \subset \text{gr}(\mathcal{H})_q$ induces for each $q \in M$ a (left-invariant) SR-structure on the group $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{H})_q$ (Example of talk 1).

Definition

The group $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{H})_q$ with the induced SR-structure is called **nilpotent approximation**^a of the SR-structure M at $q \in M$.

^aIt plays the role of a tangent space in Riemannian geometry

Nilpotent approximation

Conclusion:

Carnot groups seem to be a good **local model** of the SR-manifold. It may be helpful to understand the Sub-Laplacian and **sub-elliptic heat flow** on such groups.

Question

What is the intrinsic Sub-Laplacian on a **Carnot group** or (more generally) on any **nilpotent Lie group**?

Exponential coordinates: Let $(G, *)$ be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group of dimension $\dim G = n$ and with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$$

is a **diffeomorphism**. Hence we can pullback the product on G to $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ via \exp (*exponential coordinates*).

Exponential coordinates

We have an identification:

$$(G, *) \cong (\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^n, \circ),$$

where

$$g \circ h := \log \left(\exp(g) * \exp(h) \right), \quad \text{for all } g, h \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

Let $g, h \in \mathfrak{g}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(g) * \exp(h) &= \\ &= \exp \left(g + h + \frac{1}{2}[g, h] + \frac{1}{12}[g, [g, h]] - \frac{1}{12}[h, [g, h]] \mp \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

Note: if \mathfrak{g} is **nilpotent**, then the sum in the exponent is always **finite**.

Exponential coordinates

Using this formula above gives:

$$g \circ h = g + h + \frac{1}{2}[g, h] + \frac{1}{12}[g, [g, h]] - \frac{1}{12}[h, [g, h]] \mp \dots \text{(finite)}.$$

Example

Consider the case $r = \text{step } \mathfrak{g} = 2$ and choose a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus V_2$$

such that

$$[V_1, V_1] = V_2 \quad \text{and} \quad [V_1, V_2] = [V_2, V_2] = 0.$$

Consider the SR-structure on $\mathfrak{g} \cong G$ defined by:

$$\mathcal{H} = V_1 = \text{"left-invariant vector fields."}$$

Sub-Laplacian on nilpotent Lie groups

Example (continued)

Consider an **inner product** $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V_1 and chose an **orthonormal basis**:

$$[X_1, \dots, X_m] = \text{"orthonormal basis of } V_1\text{"}.$$

Chose a basis $[Y_{m+1}, \dots, Y_n]$ of V_2 . Then there are **structure constants** c_{ij}^k such that

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n c_{ij}^{\ell} Y_{\ell}, \quad [X_i, Y_{\ell}] = 0 = [Y_{\ell}, Y_h].$$

This choice of basis gives a concrete identification $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$.

Goal: Calculate the **left-invariant vector fields** corresponding to the basis elements X_j .

Sub-Laplacian on nilpotent Lie groups

Example (continued)

Let $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j X_j \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [X_i f](g) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(g \circ tX_i) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} f\left(g + tX_i + \frac{1}{2}[g, tX_i]\right) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} f\left(g + tX_i + \frac{t}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m x_j [X_j, X_i]\right) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} f\left(g + tX_i + \frac{t}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n x_j c_{ji}^{\ell} Y_{\ell}\right) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n x_j c_{ji}^{\ell} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\ell}} \right\} f(g). \end{aligned}$$

Sub-Laplacian on nilpotent Lie groups

Example (continued)

We can identify $X_i \in V_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ with the following **left-invariant vector field** on $G \cong \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\tilde{X}_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n x_j c_{ij}^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\ell}.$$

Observations:

- the coefficients in front of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ is **one** for $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- in the double sum the variable x_i **does not appear** ($c_{ii}^\ell = 0$ for all ℓ).

Let $\mathcal{P} =$ **Lebesgue measure** be the **Popp measure** on $G \cong \mathbb{R}^n$.

Goal: Calculate the \mathcal{P} -**divergence** of X_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$:

From the above observations:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_i} \left(dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m \wedge dy_{m+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy_{n-m} \right) = d \circ \iota_{\tilde{X}_i} \mathcal{P} = d \left(\mathcal{P}(\tilde{X}_i, \cdot) \right) = 0.$$

Therefore $\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{P}}(X_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Sub-Laplacian on nilpotent Lie groups

Example (continued)

Conclusion: In the above example of a step-2 nilpotent Lie group we have found:

$$\Delta_{\text{sub}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\tilde{X}_i^2 + \underbrace{\operatorname{div}_{\omega}(X_i)}_{=0} X_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{X}_i^2.$$

Hence, the **intrinsic sub-Laplacian** has **no first order terms**. We say:

$$\Delta_{\text{sub}} = \text{sum of squares operator.}$$

A more general statement

Let G be a **Lie group** of dimension $\dim G = n$. Then on G we have two types of **Haar measures**. A

- **left-invariant** n -form μ_L , (*left-Haar measure*) i.e.

$$\int_G f(a * g) \mu_L(g) = \int_G f(g) \mu_L(g), \quad \forall a \in G, \forall f \in L^1(G),$$

- **right-invariant** n -form μ_R , (*right-Haar measure*) i.e.

$$\int_G f(g * a) \mu_R(g) = \int_G f(g) \mu_R(g), \quad \forall a \in G, \forall f \in L^1(G),$$

Definition

The group G is called **unimodular** if μ_L and μ_R are **proportional**.

Example: Let G be a nilpotent Lie group or $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ or $G = \mathrm{SO}(3)$.

Proposition (A. Agrachev, U. Boscain, J.-P. Gauthier, F. Rossi, 2009)

Let $(G, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a left-invariant sub-Riemannian structure on a **unimodular group** G .

Then the intrinsic sub-Laplacian Δ_{sub} is a **sum of squares of vector fields** (i.e. it has no first order term).

Next Goal: *What are the analytic properties of Δ_{sub} ? What can be said about the subelliptic heat flow?*

Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a regular SR-manifold. Consider a **local orthonormal frame** for \mathcal{H}

$$[X_1, \dots, X_m] \quad \text{with} \quad m \leq n = \dim M.$$

Seen before: The intrinsic sub-Laplacian Δ_{sub} can be expressed in the form:

$$\Delta_{sub} = \sum_{i=1}^m \left[X_i^2 + \operatorname{div}_\omega(X_i) X_i \right].$$

Hypoellipticity

Theorem (L. Hörmander, 1967)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Consider C^∞ -vector fields $[X_0, \dots, X_m]$ with

$$\text{rank Lie}[X_0, \dots, X_m] = n, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (\text{Hörmander condition}).$$

The differential operator \mathcal{L} is **hypoelliptic**:

$$\mathcal{L} := \sum_{j=1}^m X_j^2 + X_0 + c \quad c \in C^\infty(\Omega)$$

Remarks

- An operator P is called **hypoelliptic** if

$$Pu = f \quad \text{with} \quad f, u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$$

implies: Let $\Omega_0 \stackrel{\text{open}}{\subset} \Omega$ and $f \in C^\infty(\Omega_0)$, then $u \in C^\infty(\Omega_0)$.

- The hypoellipticity statement in the Hörmander's Theorem follows via **sub-elliptic estimates**:

$$\|u\|_{s-\delta} \leq C_D (\|Au\|_s + \|u\|_0), \quad u \in C_0^\infty(D)$$

↑
bounded domain

- **elliptic** operators (e.g. the Laplace operator on a Riemannian manifold) are **hypoelliptic** (elliptic regularity).

Hörmander theorem: the version on manifolds

Theorem (L. Hörmander, 1967)

Let \mathcal{L} be a differential operator on a **manifold** M , that locally in a neighborhood U of any point is written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2 + X_0,$$

where X_0, X_1, \dots, X_m are C^∞ - vector fields with

$$\text{Lie}_q \{ X_0, X_1, \dots, X_m \} = T_q M \quad \forall q \in U.$$

Then \mathcal{L} is **hypoelliptic**. In particular:

The **intrinsic sub-Laplacian** on a SR-manifold M is **hypoelliptic**.

Example: Kolmogorov operator

At the beginning of the 20th century:

A prototype of a kind of operator studied by A. N. Kolmogorov in relation with diffusion phenomena is the following:

Example: Kolmogorov operator (proto-type)

$$K = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \partial_{y_j} - \partial_t, \quad \text{mit } (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$$

"sum of squares + a first order term.

$x =$ velocity and $y :=$ position.

Operator with *non-negative degenerate characteristic form*.

Heat kernel of the Sub-Laplacian

Definition

The **heat kernel** of the **sub-Laplacian** Δ_{sub}

$$K(t; x, y) : (0, \infty) \times M \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is the **fundamental solution** of the heat operator:

$$P := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\text{sub}},$$

i.e. $K(t; x, y)$ fulfills

$$\begin{cases} PK(t; \cdot, y) = 0, & \text{for all } t > 0 \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} K(t; x, \cdot) = \delta_x, & \text{in the distributional sense.} \end{cases}$$

Applications: Hypoelliptic diffusion and human vision. Image reconstruction via non-isotropic diffusion. (*Boscain, Citti, Sarti, ...*)

Remarks

We assume that M is **complete** as a metric space.

- Based on the **essentially selfadjointness** of Δ_{sub} on $C_c^\infty(M)$ the **existence and uniqueness** of the heat kernel is guaranteed. The details are discussed in a paper by R. Strichartz.¹
- Hörmander's theorem also implies the **hypoellipticity of the heat operator** $P := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\text{sub}}$. Since the heat kernel solves

$$PK(t; \cdot, y) = 0$$

and is **symmetric** in the space variables, it follows that K is a **smooth kernel** on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \times M$.

¹Robert S. Strichartz. Sub-Riemannian geometry. J. Differential Geom., 24(2):221 - 263, 1986.

From analysis to geometry and back

Intuition: Let $x, y \in M$ (Riemannian manifold):

heat kernel = $K(t; x, y)$ = "Heat flow from x to y at time t "

"Meta-Theorem"

The heat kernel of the Sub-Laplacian Δ_{sub} has the form of a path integral:

$$K(t; x, y) = \int_{P_t(x, y)} e^{-S_t(\gamma)} d\mu_t(\gamma).$$

- $P_t(x, y)$ = space of **curves**, connecting x and y .
- $S_t(\gamma)$, classical **action**

$$S_t(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}(s)\|^2 ds.$$

- μ_t , a "**measure**" on $P_t(x, y)$.

Example: heat asymptotic (ellipt. case)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be **vector fields** on \mathbb{R}^n , linear independent at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider

$$\Delta = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 + (\text{lower order terms}).$$

Heat kernel

The heat kernel of Δ has the following **asymptotic behaviour** as $t \downarrow 0$:

$d(x, x')$ = Riemannian distance between x and x' , with x near x' .

$$K(t; x, x') = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{d(x, x')^2}{2t}} \left[a_0(x, x') + a_1(x, x')t + \dots \right].$$

Example: Heat trace asymptotic (ellip. case)

Let M be a **compact Riemannian manifold** with $\partial M \neq \emptyset$

- Δ the **Laplace-Beltrami operator** (zero Dirichlet boundary conditions).
- $\sigma(\Delta) = \{0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots\}$ the **spectrum** (=eigenvalues) of Δ .

Then:

$$\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j}}_{= \text{heat trace}} \sim C_0 t^{-\frac{n}{2}} + C_1 t^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} + C_2 t^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} + \dots, \quad (t \downarrow 0).$$

With **geometric quantities**:

$$C_0 = \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}, \quad C_1 = \frac{\text{Vol}(\partial M)}{4(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{6(2\pi)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \int_M \overset{\text{scalar curvature}}{\downarrow} R(x) dx.$$

New effects: SR-geometry

Geometry:

- end points of geodesics cannot be parametrized by initial velocities $(m < n)$.
- Even **locally** there may be **finitely many** (> 1) or **infinitely many SR-geodesics** between $x, y \in M$.
- There may be **singular geodesics**.

Analysis:

- Let $x_0 \in M$ be fixed. The map $x \mapsto d_{cc}^2(x_0, x)$ is **not smooth**.
- Even locally: the leading exponent in the asymptotic of the heat kernel $K(t, x, y)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ depends on the **position** of x and y

Example: SR-geodesic on the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}_3 .

New effects: asymptotic behavior of the heat kernel

$K(t, x, y)$: heat kernel (HK) of the Sub-Laplacian:

Theorem, (Léandre 1987)

The following **asymptotic** hold:

$$d_{\text{SR}} = \text{Carnot-Carathéodory metric} \\ \downarrow \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} t \log K(t; x, y) = -\frac{d_{\text{SR}}(x, y)^2}{2}.$$

In case of a Riemannian manifold this relation is called **Varadhan formula**.

Heat asymptotic

The following **improvements** of the previous result are known:

Theorem, (Ben Arous 1989)

$$K(t; x, y) \sim t^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} e^{-\frac{d_{\text{CC}}(x, y)^2}{2t}} \left[a_0(x, y) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{t}) \right], \quad (t \downarrow 0)$$

if $x \neq y$ and x is not in the **cut-locus** of y .

Theorem, (Ben Arous, Léandre 1991)

Asymptotic on the **diagonal**

$$K(t; x, x) = \frac{C + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{t})}{t^{\frac{Q}{2}}} \quad \text{with } Q = \text{Hausdorff dimension of } M.$$

Spectral zeta functions

Another point of view is the analysis of the **spectral zeta function** of the sub-Laplacian:

Spectral zeta function

Let A denote a non-negative operator with discrete spectrum

$$\sigma(A) = \{0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 \cdots\},$$

where λ_j are **eigenvalues** of finite multiplicity $m(\lambda_j)$. The spectral zeta function of A is defined by:

$$\zeta_A(s) := \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 0} \frac{m(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_j^s}.$$

Question: *In particular, let $A = \Delta_{\text{sub}}$. What can be said about relations between geometric data and the meromorphic structure of $\zeta_{\Delta_{\text{sub}}}$ (meromorphic extension, pole distribution, residues, singularities in $s = 0$)?*

Next goal

"For a class of hypo-elliptic Hörmander operators generalizing the Kolmogorov operator study the small time heat kernel expansion ² and a relation to a **problem in control theory**."

²D. Barilari, E. Paoli, *Curvature terms in small time heat kernel expansion for a model class of hypoelliptic Hörmander operators*, Nonlinear analysis 164 (2017), 118-134.