Remez inequality and propagation of smallness for solutions of second order elliptic PDEs **Part II. Logarithmic convexity for harmonic functions and solutions of elliptic PDEs**

> Eugenia Malinnikova NTNU

> > March 2018

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

Second order elliptic equations

We study operators of the form

$$Lf = div(A\nabla f),$$

where $A(x) = [a_{ij}(x)]_{1 \le i,j \le d}$ is a symmetric matrix with Lipschitz entries and

$$\Lambda^{-1} \|v\|^2 \leq (A(x)v, v) \leq \Lambda \|v\|^2$$

uniformly in x.

We will study local properties of solutions to the equation Lf = 0 and changing the coordinates assume that L is a small perturbation of the Laplacian.

Harnack inequality and comparison of norms

Suppose that Lf = 0 in $B_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ and $f \ge 0$ in B_1 then

$$\max_{B_{1/2}} f \leq C_H \min_{B_{1/2}} f.$$

In particular $E_{\delta}(f) = \{x \in B_{1/2} : |f(x)| < \delta \max_{B_{1/2}} |f|\}$ is empty when δ is sufficiently small.

We will also use the following inequality (equivalence of norms) for any solution f of Lf = 0 in B_1 we have

$$\frac{1}{|S_{1/2}|} \int_{S_{1/2}} |f|^2 \leq \max_{B_{1/2}} |f|^2 \leq C \frac{1}{|S_1|} \int_{S_1} |f|^2.$$

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

Unique continuation property

Definition

A differential operator P is said to have the strong unique continuation property (SUCP) in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if for any $x \in \Omega$ and any u such that Pu = 0 and u vanishes at x of infinite order, u = 0 in a neighborhood of x.

Definition

A differential operator P is said to have the weak unique continuation property (WUCP) in a connected open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if Pu = 0 in Ω and u vanishes at some open subset of Ω implies u = 0 in Ω .

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Logarithmic convexity: harmonic functions

Let *h* be a harmonic function in $B_{R_1} \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ and let $0 < R_0 < R < R_1$, denote

$$m(r) = \left(\frac{1}{|B_r|}\int_{B_r}|h|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Then $m(R) \leq m(R_0)^{\alpha} m(R_1)^{1-\alpha}$, where $R = R_0^{\alpha} R_1^{1-\alpha}$.

In other words the function $F(t) = \log m(e^t)$ is convex. Exercises: $m(r) = \sum c_k^2 r^{2k}$ and sum of positive log-convex functions is log-convex.

Corollary:

$$\sup_{B_R} |h| \leq C \sup_{B_{R_0}} |h|^{\beta} \sup_{B_{R_1}} |h|^{1-\beta}.$$

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

Almgren's frequency function

Let $div(A\nabla f) = 0$ in $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Define $H(r) = \int_{\partial B_r} |f|^2$. Then $H'(r) = 2 \int_{\partial B_r} ff_n$.

Almgren's frequency function

$$\mathcal{N}_f(x,r) = \frac{rH'(r)}{H(r)} = \frac{r f_{\partial B_r} ff_n}{f_{\partial B_r} |f|^2}$$

- If f is a homogeneous polynomial of degree N then $\mathcal{N}_f(0, r) = N$.
- If f vanishes at x with its derivatives up to order N, then $\lim_{r\to 0} \mathcal{N}_f(x, r) = N$

Logarithmic convexity of the norms of elliptic PDE

Theorem (Garofalo-Lin, 1986) There exist c and r_0 such that $e^{cr} \mathcal{N}_f(x, r)$ is increasing function of r on $(0, r_0)$.

The doubling index of a function is closely connected to its frequency. We define it by

$$N_{2,f}(x,r) = \log \frac{\int_{\partial B(x,2r)} |f|^2}{\int_{\partial B(x,r)} |f|^2}$$

Then

$$N_{2,f}(x,r) = \int_{r}^{2r} \frac{tH'_{f}(x,t)}{H_{f}(x,t)} \frac{dt}{t} = c\mathcal{N}_{f}(x,r_{0})$$

for some $r_0 \in (r, 2r)$.

E. Malinnikova

Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

Three balls theorem and modified doubling index

The monotonicity theorem and equivalence of norms implies three balls inequality for solutions of elliptic PDEs (Landis 1963):

$$\max_{B_{r_2}} |f| \leq C \max_{B_{r_1}} |f|^{eta} \max_{B_{r_3}} |f|^{1-eta},$$

where $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < R$ and Lf = 0 in B_R .

We will use modified doubling index defined by supremum-norms:

$$N_f(x,r) = \log \frac{\max_{B(x,2r} |f|}{\max_{B(x,r)} |f|}, \quad \tilde{N}_f(x,r) = \sup_{2b \subset B(x,2r)} \frac{\max_{2b} |f|}{\max_{b} |f|}$$

This function is monotone in r and if $\tilde{N}_f(x, r) > N_0$ then $N_f(x, 2r) > (1 - \epsilon)\tilde{N}_f(x, r).$

E. Malinnikova

Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

Cauchy uniqueness theorem

Theorem

Suppose that Ω is a domain with good boundary, $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and Lf = 0 in Ω . Let $\Gamma = B \cap \partial \Omega$ be a non-empty part of the boundary. If $f|_{\Gamma} = 0$ and $f_n|_{\Gamma} = 0$ then $f \equiv 0$.

There is also a quantitative version of Cauchy uniqueness

Theorem Suppose that Lf = 0 in the unit cube and $f \in C^1(\overline{Q})$. If $|\nabla f| \leq \varepsilon$ on one face of the cube and $|\nabla f| \leq 1$ in Q, then

$$\max_{1/2Q} |\nabla f| \le C \varepsilon^{\gamma}.$$

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

Two lemmas of A.Logunov

The two quantitative results on propagation of smallness can be formulated in terms of the frequency function. Let LF = 0 in the ball B_R , R >> 1

Lemma (Simplex lemma, Logunov, 2016)

Suppose that $\{x_j\} \subset B_1$ are the vertices of a non-degenerate simplex, $r < \min_{j \neq k} |x_j - x_k|$ and $d > \max |x_j - x_k|$. Let further x_0 be the barycenter of the simplex. There exists c > 0 and N_0 such that if $N(x_j, r) > N \ge N_0$ then $N(x_0, 2d) > (1 + c)N$.

Lemma (Hyperplane lemma, Logunov, 2016) Suppose that $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{A^{d-1}}$ are points ion the $B_1 \cap \{x_d = 0\}$ that form a lattice on the hyperplane and $N(x_j, r) > N$ for each j then N(0, 1) > (1 + c)N.

▲ロト ▲園ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

Distribution of the frequency function

Combining two lemmas above and using simple iteration procedure one can obtain the following statement of the distribution of cubes with large doubling index:

Corollary

Let Lf = 0 in CQ and $N = N_f(Q)$, there exists A such that when Q is partitioned into A^d small cubes q the number of cubes with $N_f(q) > N/(1 + \epsilon)$ is bounded by A^{d-1-c} .

Quantitative unique continuation

Let Lf = 0 in Ω , $|f| \le \varepsilon$ on $E \subset \Omega$, K is a compact subset of Ω then

$$\max_{\mathcal{K}} |f| \leq C \sup_{\Omega} |f|^{1-\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha}.$$

E =Ball, three balls theorems

|E| > 0, analytic coefficients, Nadirashvili 1979

dim(E) > n - 1, analytic coefficients, E.M. 2004 (capacity)

|E| > 0, non-analytic case, Nadirashvili 86, Vessella 2000, E.M. and Vessella 2012:

 $\max_{\mathcal{K}} |h| \leq C \exp(-c|\log \epsilon|)^{\mu}) \sup_{\Omega} |f|, \quad \mu = \mu(n) < 1.$

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

A new result on quantitative uniqueness, non-analytic coefficients

Theorem (E.M., A. Logunov, 2017) Let f be a solution of Lf = 0. Assume that

 $|f| \leq \epsilon$ on $E \subset \Omega$,

where |E| > 0. Let K be a compact subset of Ω then

$$\max_{\mathcal{K}} |f| \leq C \sup_{\Omega} |f|^{1-\alpha} \epsilon^{\alpha},$$

where C, α depend on $L, |E|, \operatorname{dist}(E, \partial \Omega)$ and K (but not on E and f).

Discrete Laplace operator

Discrete Laplace operator on $(hZ)^n$

$$\Delta_h U(x) = h^{-2} (\sum_{j=1}^n (U(x + he_j) + u(x - he_j) - 2nU(x))).$$

No (naive) unique continuation property.

Logarithmic convexity in Cauchy problem with some boundary data: Falk and Monk 1986, Reinhardt, Han and Háo 1999

Discrete Carleman estimates: Klibanov and Santosa 1991, Boyer, Hubert and Le Rousseau 2009, Ervedoza and de Gournay 2011.

Logarithmic convexity for discrete harmonic functions

Theorem (M. Guadie, E.M, 2014)

Let Ω be a connected domain in \mathbb{R}^n , O be an open subset of Ω , and $K \subset \Omega$ be a compact set. Then there exists C, α and $\delta < 1$ and N_0 large enough such that for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N > N_0$ and any discrete harmonic function U on $\Omega \cap (N^{-1}\mathbb{Z})^n$ we have

$$\max_{\mathcal{K}} |U| \leq C(\max_{O} |U|^{\alpha} \max_{\Omega} |U|^{1-\alpha} + \delta^{N} \max_{\Omega} |U|).$$

It is clear that on the right-hand side we should have at least $\delta_0^N \max_{\Omega} |U|$. There is no (weak) unique continuation principle for discrete harmonic functions.

A B > A B > A B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

An improvement

Theorem (L. Buhovsky, A. Logunov, E.M., M.Sodin, 2017) Let $Q_N = [-N, N]^d$, if U is discrete harmonic in Q_N , $|U| \le 1$ on Q_N and $|U| \le \varepsilon$ on some (fixed) portion of $Q_{N/4}$ then

$$\max_{Q_{N/2}} |U| \le C\varepsilon^{\alpha} + \delta^{N}.$$

Tool (Discrete version of the Remez inequality) *P* is a polynomial of degree *n* , $P \in \mathbf{R}[x]$ and $S \subset I \cap \mathbf{Z} = [a, b], |\#S| > 2n$

$$\sup_{I} |P| \le \left(\frac{8|I|}{|\#S|}\right)^n \sup_{E} |P|$$

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs