Remez inequality and propagation of smallness for solutions of second order elliptic PDEs **Part III. Eigenfunctions of Laplace-Beltrami operator** 

> Eugenia Malinnikova NTNU

> > March 2018

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

Sar

# Eigenfunctions

Consider a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions or a compact closed manifold. We study the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator

$$\Delta_M u + \lambda u = 0.$$

Here  $\Delta_M$  is a uniformly elliptic operator, u is a solution of a second order equation with zero order term.

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

#### Wave-scale

Consider an eigenfunction u

$$\Delta_M u + \lambda u = 0,$$

look at the scale  $s = c\lambda^{-1/2}$  and do the change of variables  $g(x) = u(x_0 + sx)$ , then g satisfies an equation

$$Lg + cg = 0$$

with bounded (small) coefficient c and we believe that on this scale g shares properties of the solutions of elliptic equations in divergence form.

# Harmonic extension (lifting)

A better way to work on the wave-scale is to introduce a new variable and consider the function

$$h(x,t) = u(x)e^{\sqrt{\lambda}t}$$

Then

$$\Delta h = 0$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $M \times \mathbf{R}$ . We have a second order elliptic operator in divergence form and  $\lambda$  is hidden in the behavior of h in the extra direction.

Similar procedure: from spherical harmonics to harmonic functions in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ .

Application: the density of zeros

Suppose that u is an eigenfunction

$$\Delta_M u + \lambda u = 0$$

and it is positive on some ball  $B_r$ . Then h is positive in the cylinder  $B_r \times [-r, r]$ . By the Harnack inequality the maximum and minimum of h in the smaller cylinder  $C_r = B_{r/2} \times [-r/2, r/2]$  are comparable. But

$$\frac{\max_{C_r} h}{\min_{C_r} h} \ge e^{r\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

It means that  $r \leq C_0 \lambda^{-1/2}$ . Thus  $Z_u$  intersects each ball of radius  $c \lambda^{-1/2}$ .

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

Doubling index of eigenfunctions

Theorem (Donnelly-Fefferman, 1988) Let u be an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then for any cube Q

$$N(u,Q) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$$

Idea of the proof Consider h(x, t) then  $N(u, B) \leq N(h, B_1)$ , where  $B_1$  is a ball containing B. Then we use the almost monotonicity of the doubling index for solutions of elliptic equations and note that if the size  $B_0$  is comparable to M then  $N(h, B_0) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$ .

Doubling index of eigenfunctions

Theorem (Donnelly-Fefferman, 1988) Let u be an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then for any cube Q

$$N(u,Q) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$$

Idea of the proof Consider h(x, t) then  $N(u, B) \leq N(h, B_1)$ , where  $B_1$  is a ball containing B. Then we use the almost monotonicity of the doubling index for solutions of elliptic equations and note that if the size  $B_0$  is comparable to M then  $N(h, B_0) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$ .

Accurate proof through propagation of smallness.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

Doubling index of eigenfunctions

Theorem (Donnelly-Fefferman, 1988) Let u be an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then for any cube Q

$$N(u,Q) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$$

Idea of the proof Consider h(x, t) then  $N(u, B) \leq N(h, B_1)$ , where  $B_1$  is a ball containing B. Then we use the almost monotonicity of the doubling index for solutions of elliptic equations and note that if the size  $B_0$  is comparable to M then  $N(h, B_0) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$ .

Accurate proof through propagation of smallness.

In particular the order of vanishing of u is bounded by  $c\sqrt{\lambda}$ .

Let u be an eigenfunction,  $\Delta_M u + \lambda u = 0$ , and  $Z_u$  be its zero set. Yau conjectured that

$$c\sqrt{\lambda} \leq H^{d-1}(Z_u) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$$

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

na Cr

Let u be an eigenfunction,  $\Delta_M u + \lambda u = 0$ , and  $Z_u$  be its zero set. Yau conjectured that

$$c\sqrt{\lambda} \leq H^{d-1}(Z_u) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$$

• Donnelli and Fefferman in 1988 proved that the conjecture holds when the metric is real analytic

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

Sar

Let u be an eigenfunction,  $\Delta_M u + \lambda u = 0$ , and  $Z_u$  be its zero set. Yau conjectured that

$$c\sqrt{\lambda} \leq H^{d-1}(Z_u) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$$

- Donnelli and Fefferman in 1988 proved that the conjecture holds when the metric is real analytic
- An estimate from above in the smooth case followed from Hardt& Simon's (1989) proof of the dimension estimate of the zero set, they obtained  $H^{d-1}(Z_u) \leq C\lambda^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ .

Let u be an eigenfunction,  $\Delta_M u + \lambda u = 0$ , and  $Z_u$  be its zero set. Yau conjectured that

$$c\sqrt{\lambda} \leq H^{d-1}(Z_u) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$$

- Donnelli and Fefferman in 1988 proved that the conjecture holds when the metric is real analytic
- An estimate from above in the smooth case followed from Hardt& Simon's (1989) proof of the dimension estimate of the zero set, they obtained  $H^{d-1}(Z_u) \leq C\lambda^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ .
- For smooth metric the best old estimate from below was  $H^{d-1}(Z_u) \ge \lambda^{(3-n)/4}$  (Sogge & Zeldich, Colding & Minicozzi, 2011-12)

Let u be an eigenfunction,  $\Delta_M u + \lambda u = 0$ , and  $Z_u$  be its zero set. Yau conjectured that

$$c\sqrt{\lambda} \leq H^{d-1}(Z_u) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$$

- Donnelli and Fefferman in 1988 proved that the conjecture holds when the metric is real analytic
- An estimate from above in the smooth case followed from Hardt& Simon's (1989) proof of the dimension estimate of the zero set, they obtained  $H^{d-1}(Z_u) \leq C\lambda^{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ .
- For smooth metric the best old estimate from below was  $H^{d-1}(Z_u) \ge \lambda^{(3-n)/4}$  (Sogge & Zeldich, Colding & Minicozzi, 2011-12)
- For n = 2 the estimate from below in due to Büning 1978; the best estimate from above is due to Donnelly and Feffreman 1990  $H^1(Z_u) \leq C\lambda^{3/4}$

E. Malinnikova

Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

Some ideas of Donnelly and Fefferman for real analytic case

For the estimate from below, partition *M* into cubes with side of the wave length. On each of this cubes N<sub>u</sub>(q) ≤ C√λ.
 Claim: At least half of the cubes satisfy N<sub>u</sub>(q) ≤ C (analytic technique, log |u|)

# Some ideas of Donnelly and Fefferman for real analytic case

- For the estimate from below, partition *M* into cubes with side of the wave length. On each of this cubes N<sub>u</sub>(q) ≤ C√λ.
  Claim: At least half of the cubes satisfy N<sub>u</sub>(q) ≤ C (analytic technique, log |u|)
- Estimate from above: take harmonic extension. Claim: if h is a harmonic function (in real analytic metric) with  $N_h(q) \leq N$  one has  $H^d(Z_h) \leq CN$ . (intersections with lines and estimates for analytic functions).

# New results

- n = 2 the estimate  $\lambda^{3/4}$  is not sharp, it can be improved.
- (Logunov 2016) there is a polynomial estimate from above in any dimension H<sup>d-1</sup>(Z<sub>u</sub>) ≤ Cλ<sup>K</sup> for some K = K(d, M).
- (Logunov 2016) the conjectured estimate from below holds in any dimension H<sup>d-1</sup>(Z<sub>u</sub>) ≥ c√λ.
- for the Dirichlet Laplacian on a subdomain of R<sup>d</sup> with smooth boundary the Yau conjecture holds.

# A question of Nadirashvili

Question

Is it true that there exists a constant  $K_d$  such that for any harmonic function h in  $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that h(0) = 0 the inequality  $H^{d-1}(Z_h) \geq K_d$  holds?

This is trivial in dimension two (maximum principle). There is no "analytic" answer in higher dimensions.

#### Theorem (Logunov, 2016)

The answer is yes for solutions of elliptic equations in divergence form.

This implies the estimate from below in the Yau's conjecture. Zeros are  $c\lambda^{-1/2}$ -dense. In each cube on the wave scale the measure of the zero set is at least  $K_d\lambda^{-d-1/2}$  and we have  $\lambda^{d/2}$  cubes.

E. Malinnikova Propagation of smallness for elliptic PDEs

# Not all doubling indices are large

Suppose that u is a function om a compact manifold,  $N_{2,u}(q)$  is the doubling index for the  $L^2$ -norm. We partition M into cubes on approximately the same size. Then there are cubes with small doubling index. One may estimate the number of such cubes from the estimates on  $||u||_{\infty}/||u||_2$ .

Now let Lf = 0 in CQ, consider the doubling index  $\tilde{N}_f$  and a partition of Q into  $A^d$  small cubes. Then if  $\tilde{N}(q) > N_0$  for each small cube q then  $\tilde{N}(Q) > AN_0/2$ 

Iterating this result we obtain: If  $\tilde{N}(Q) > N_0$  and Q is divided into  $B^d$  small cubes then for at least half of them  $\tilde{N}(q) \leq B^{-\delta} \tilde{N}(Q)$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ ̄豆 \_ りへで